

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE                            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/484,259                         | 01/18/2000                             | David Coates         | MERCK1883-C1              | 9483             |  |
| 23599                              | 7590 05/16/2002                        |                      |                           |                  |  |
| MILLEN, W                          | MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. |                      |                           | EXAMINER         |  |
| 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.<br>SUITE 1400 |  |                      | CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID |                  |  |
| ARLINGTON                          | , VA 22201                             |                      | ART UNIT                  | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                    |  |                      | 2871                      |                  |  |
|                                    |  |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/16/2002   |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |   | N          |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | Applicati n N .   | Applicant(s)  |            |  |  |  |  |
|   | 09/484,259  | COATES ET AL.   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examin r  | Art Unit  |            |  |  |  |  |
|   | Tarifur R Chowdhury   | 2871  |            |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the c ver sheet with the c rrespondence address — Peri d for Reply   |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the proxiso of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | inication. |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of  | on <u>26 <i>February 2002</i></u> .   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   | ★ This action is non-final.   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims  |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-29 is/are pending in  | the application.  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 9-29 is   | s/are withdrawn from considera  | tion.   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by   | the Examiner.   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   | f   | C 440(-) (d) -= (f)   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for   | toreign priority under 35 U.S.C   | . 9 119(a)-(d) or (1).  |            |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  | to be a control to a control to a d   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>   |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper  | 948) 5) Notice of   | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-19).   |            |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/484,259

Art Unit: 2871

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (A) the specifics of the liquid crystal film with homeotropic alignment being comprised of an alignment layer made of a smooth Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer (claims 1-4, 9-11, 15, 16 and 20).
- (B) the specifics of the liquid crystal film with homeotropic alignment being comprised of an alignment layer made of an aluminum coating (claims 12, 13, 17, 18, and 21-29).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/484,259

Art Unit: 2871

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R Chowdhury whose telephone number is (703) 308-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on (703) 305-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/484,259

Årt Unit: 2871

308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

TRC May 8, 2002

Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800